

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

N

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/679,112	10/03/2003	Edward R. Kraft	ERK/001	2257	
¹⁴⁷³ FISH & NEAV	7590 04/10/2007 E IP GROUP	EXAMINER			
ROPES & GRA	AY LLP	MITRA, RITA			
- -	OF THE AMERICAS NY 10036-8704	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
ŕ			1656		
			······································		
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE			
3 MONTHS		04/10/2007	PAF	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit 1656			Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Rita Mitira 1656 Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extraction of time may be available under the provided of 30 Feb 1-1806, in no event, however, may a reply be timely find of the provided with the provided of 30 Feb 1-1806, in no event, however, may a reply be timely find of 1/10 period for reply is specified above, the maximum statistory period will apply and will expire SIX (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the sit of expended poet for reply will, by statutor, came the application Science abstraction (50 St. 3.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office time from the mailing date of this communication, even if smely filed, may reduce any centred pathet in the Size of 27R 1-7807. Any reply received by the Office time from the mailing date of this communication, even if smely filed, may reduce any centred pathet in the Size of 27R 1-7807. Any reduce any centred pathet in the produce of the communication, even if smely filed, may reduce any centred pathet or reply as a construction of the mailing date of this communication. Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 December 2006. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) Size and 62-96 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 28-30,32-34,62-79 and 81-96 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 8) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 8) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The craimal from the international patheta and patheta and			10/679,112	KRAFT E.		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address = Period for Repty A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Edutations of the ring by a walked under the provision of 37 FR1 1/38(a) in no event, however, way repty be timely field utilities 13X (9) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, and a significant of the communication of the provision of the communication of the provision of the provision to the discrete date of the communication. Failure to report when the set or excited period for reply will, by tataker, cause the application become ABMORDEG (5) US (2, 5 133). Any reply received by the Office take than three months after the maling date of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any counted plate the malignature. Set 27 CFR1.70(6). Status 1) ∑ Responsive to communication (s) filled on 15 December 2006. 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ∑ This action is non-final. 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) ∑ Claim(s) 24-53 and 62-96 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 28-30,32-34,62-79 and 81-96 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5 ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ∑ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ∑ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 8) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 03 October 2003 is/are: a) ∑ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 03 October 2003 is/are: a) ∑ accepted or b) ☐ objected to See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. 12) ☐ Acknow		Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.138(a). In or owner, however, may a reply be firmly filled to the provision of 37 CFR 1.138(a). In or owner, however, may a reply be firmly filled for the provision of 37 CFR 1.138(a). In or owner, however, may a reply be firmly filled for the provision of 37 CFR 1.138(a). In or owner, however, may a reply be firmly filled for the communication. Failure to reply within the filled above, the maximum statistop provide via gapy, and wis easy SEX (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply vibration, easien the provision of the communication. Failure to make the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any exempt plants turn adjustment. Set 97 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Separation of the set of the communication of allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 24-53 and 62-96 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 28-30,32-34,62-79 and 81-96 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 8) Claim(s) 24-27,31,35-59 and 80 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to . 8) The drawing(s) filed on 03 October 2003 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) The drawing(s) filed on 03 October 2003 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Application papers and paper			Rita Mitra	1656		
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Estatesizes of time may be a subleau durb the provisions of 37 CPR 1.13(e). In ne event, however, may a reply be timely filed after 53X (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication or reply is spaceful advent, the maintenance of the provision of the p		• •	pears on the cover sheet with the co	orrespondence address		
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 December 2006. 2a)□ This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final. 3)□ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4)⊠ Claim(s) 24-53 and 62-96 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 28-30,32-34,62-79 and 81-96 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5)□ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)□ Claim(s) 24-27,31,35-53 and 80 is/are rejected. 7)□ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)□ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9)□ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10)☒ The drawing(s) filed on 03 October 2003 is/are: a)☒ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11)□ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12)□ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)□ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of: 1.□ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3.□ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	A SHO WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failui Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA isions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or the to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 24-53 and 62-96 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 28-30,32-34,62-79 and 81-96 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 24-27,31,35-53 and 80 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filled on 03 October 2003 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	Status					
4) Claim(s) 24-53 and 62-96 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 28-30,32-34,62-79 and 81-96 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 24-27,31,35-53 and 80 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 03 October 2003 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	2a)☐ 3)☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This Since this application is in condition for allowar	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro			
4) Claim(s) 24-53 and 62-96 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 28-30,32-34,62-79 and 81-96 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 24-27,31,35-53 and 80 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 03 October 2003 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	Dispositi	on of Claims				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 03 October 2003 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>28-30,32-34,62-79 and Claim(s)</u> is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>24-27,31,35-53 and 80</u> is/are rejected Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	nd 81-96 is/are withdrawn from co	onsideration.		
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on <u>03 October 2003</u> is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Application	on Papers				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	10)⊠	The drawing(s) filed on <u>03 October 2003</u> is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Attachment(s) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>2/6/2006</u> . 6) Other:	1) Notice 2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P	ate		

Art Unit: 1656

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Election/Restrictions

Applicants' election with traverse of Group II, drawn to claims 24-53 in response to office action mailed October 16, 2006, filed on December 15, 2006 is acknowledged. Applicant's species election of drugs (claim 27), comprising cytotoxics (claim 31), gelling agent comprising cellulose derivatives (claim 35) is acknowledged. Claims 1-23 and 54-61 have been cancelled. Claims 27, 28, 31-38 have been amended. New claims 62-96 have been added. Applicants submit that claim 24 is generic as all of the claims provided in group II include each and every feature of claim 24. Further Applicants submit that claims 25-53 and 62-96 depend from claim 24. The arguments have been considered but not found persuasive because claims 62-79 and 81-96 are directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: biologically active substance, drug, gelling agent. The species are independent or distinct because they are structurally and functionally divergent and would require separate non-overlapping searches. Therefore, claims 62-79 and 81-96 are not currently under examination.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Claims 28-30, 32-34, 62-79 and 81-96 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected inventions, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Therefore, claims 24-27, 31, 35-53 and 80 are currently under examination.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 31 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/679,112

Art Unit: 1656

Regarding claim 31, the phrase 'comprises a cytotoxic' renders the claim indefinite because it is unclear whether the limitation cytotoxic is a drug or what?

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 24-27, 31, 39 and 40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Jiang et al., Journal of Controlled Release, vol. 19, pp. 41-58, 1992, IDS Ref.).

Jiang et al. teach enhanced photodynamic killing of target cells by either monoclonal antibody (MoAb) or low density lipoprotein (LDL) mediated delivery system. The reference shows that the photosensitizer benzoporphyrin derivative monoacid ring A (BPD)could be covalently bound to a MoAb (5E8) via a modified polyvinyl alcohol (PVA). Further Jiang et al. demonstrated that both BPD-5E8 conjugate and BPD-LDL mixtures exhibited enhanced photodynamic killing on target cells. Further Jiang et. al. teach that internalized BPD-5E8 and BPD-LDL displayed much higher cytotoxicity than that of surface associated BPD-5E8 and BPD-LDL, respectively. The reference describes the preparation of BPD-5E8 and BPD-LDL solution, using aqueous and organic solvent. Further Jiang et al. describe treating of A549 and M-1 cell lines with BPD-5E8 and BPD-LDL followed by irradiating with sixteen 100 tungsten bulbs (400-1200 nm). The light was filtered through a 4 cm thick water filter with circulating cool water (see abstract, page 43, col. 1, page 44, col. 2, page 47, col. 1-2, page 48, col. 2 to page 49 col. 1). Jiang et al. suggest that this improved delivery of PS may result in the possible detection and treatment of small, unidentified lesions (page 56, col. 1, last paragraph).

Thus, claims 24-27, 31, 39, 40 are anticipated by Jiang et al.

Application/Control Number: 10/679,112

Art Unit: 1656

Claim Rejections- 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this rifle, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 24-27, 31, 35-53 and 80 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jiang et al. (Jiang et al., Journal of Controlled Release, vol. 19, pp. 41-58, 1992, IDS Ref.), in view of Huang et al. (Journal of Photochemistry and Photobiology, A: Chemistry, vol 108, pp. 229-233, 199 7, IDS Ref.) and Kreindel et al. (U.S. 6,387,089 B1, IDS Ref.).

Application of Jiang et al. is the same as in the above rejection of claims 24-27, 31, 39 and 40. However, Jiang et al. does not describe a gelling agent and a photocatalytic agent with a band gap energy and which is a rutile form of titanium dioxide.

Huang et al. disclose the photocatalytic killing effect of ultrafine TiO2 particles (UFP TiO2) and its mechanism of action on malignant cells (human U937 cells). The reference describes the preparation of TiO2 colloidal solution, using organic solvent isopropanol and water. Further Huang et al. describe treating of U937 cells with TiO2 followed by irradiating with 500 W high pressure Hg lamp. A UV pass filter was used to obtain a light wavelength between 300-400 nm (see abstract, page 229, Introduction col. 1, paragraph 1, page 230, col. 1, paragraph 2). Huang et al. suggest that the this could be used for the treatment of superficial tumors in an organ appropriate for light exposure such as the skin and oral cavity trachea (page 232, col. 2, last paragraph).

Art Unit: 1656

Huang et al. does not explicitly disclose the use of pulsed incoherent light as claimed in the instant invention. Huang et al. does not describe a gelling agent in the solution.

Kreindel et al. disclose a method and apparatus for treating skin including applying pulsed light to the skin for heating and shrinking collagen within the skin, thereby reviving the elasticity of the collagen and of the skin. The method also includes protecting the epidermis and outer layers of the skin by cooling the epidermis and outer layers of the skin by applying a transparent substance such as ice, gel or crystal. Further Kreindel et al. disclose control of temperature distribution within the skin by controlling the delay between the time the coolant is applied and the time the light is applied, by controlling the pulse duration and applying multiple pulses, and by filtering the light and controlling the radiation spectrum, wherein the spectrum includes light having a wavelength in the range of 500-2000 nm., wherein the pulsed light may be incoherent such as that produced by a flashlamp (see abstract and col. 2, lines 13-49) or a near infrared pulsed laser (see col. 7, lines 26-30).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to the person having ordinary skill in the art to combine the teachings of Jiang with the teaching of Huang and Kreindel because Kreindel's pulsed incoherent light source have the parameters suitable for implementing the invention such as light radiation should penetrate into a tissue in a millimeter depth; and applying a long pulse or a train of short pulses cools down the epidermis faster (see col. 5, lines 27-32; and lines 66-67 bridging col 5 and lines 1-5, col.6). Also, it would have been obvious to the person having ordinary skill in the art to use photocatalytic agent of Huang such as TiO2 because TiO2 when absorbs light with energy greater than its band gap, electrons in the valence band are excited to the conduction band, creating electron-hole pairs (page 229, col. 1, paragraph 1 and page 232 under 'discussion').

Conclusion

No claims are allowed.

Page 6

Inquiries

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rita Mitra whose telephone number is 571-272-0954. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 10:00 am-7:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dr. Jon Weber can be reached on 571-272-0925. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Rita Mitra, Ph.D.

March 18, 2007

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER